

PATENT
MES/002 CON**Foreign Office Action**

Canadian Office Action dated September 19, 2006 copy included


It is respectfully requested the Examiner fully consider these and any associated documents during the examination of this application, make them of record, and indicate his or her consideration of the documents by initialing the enclosed Citation List adjacent the citation of each document, and print them on any patent that may issue on this application. It is requested that a copy of the initialed Citation form be returned to applicant's undersigned Attorney. Citing of references herein shall not be deemed an admission that such references are prior art. Copies of the cited references are transmitted herewith.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97 (e)(1) each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement. Accordingly, it is believed no fees are due in connection with the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement.

PATENT
MES/002 CON

Respectfully submitted,

10/5/06
Date



Scott H. Kaliko
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 45,786
KALI KO & YEAGER
500 North Franklin Turnpike
Ramsey, NJ 07446
Direct: 201-831-0575
Fax: 201-831-0519



Office de la propriété
intellectuelle
du Canada

Un organisme
d'Industrie Canada
www.opic.gc.ca

Canadian
Intellectual Property
Office

An Agency of
Industry Canada
www.cipo.gc.ca

RECEIVED

September 19, 2006

SMART & BIGGAR
P.O. Box 2999
Station D
OTTAWA Ontario
K1P 5Y6

2006 SEP 21 A 8:24
55 METZALFE ST.

Application No. : 2,436,872
Owner : TWENTY YEAR INNOVATIONS, INC.
Title : METHODS AND APPARATUSES FOR PROGRAMMING
USER-DEFINED INFORMATION INTO ELECTRONIC DEVICES
Classification : H04M 1/247 (2006.01)
Your File No. : 50320-T 51170 -16
Examiner : S.Chhim

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED OF :

- A REQUISITION BY THE EXAMINER IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION 30(2) OF THE PATENT RULES;
- A REQUISITION BY THE EXAMINER IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 29 OF THE PATENT RULES.

IN ORDER TO AVOID MULTIPLE ABANDONMENTS UNDER PARAGRAPH 73(1)(A) OF THE PATENT ACT, A WRITTEN REPLY TO EACH REQUISITION MUST BE RECEIVED WITHIN 6 MONTHS AFTER THE ABOVE DATE.

This application has been examined taking into account applicant's correspondence received in this office on January 22, 2004.

The number of claims in this application is 171.

The examiner has identified the following defects in the application:

The search of the prior art has revealed the following:

Reference Applied:

United States Patent

5,577,190

November 19, 1996 G0F-3/23

Peters

Canada

OPIC  CIPO

2,436,872

- 2 -

Peters discloses a media editing system.

Claims 10, 51, 94 and 130 do not comply with section 28.3 of the *Patent Act*. The subject matter of these claims would have been obvious on the claim date to a person skilled in the art or science to which they pertain having regard to Peters.

Claims 10, 51, 94 and 130 are obvious, because Peters teaches a media editing system for editing source material, which comprises a digitizing apparatus for receiving and digitizing video and audio source material, the video source material including a sequence of images, each spanning both the horizontal and vertical display axes of the video source material; computing apparatus including compression apparatus responsive to the digitizing apparatus, the compression apparatus being for compressing the images from the video source material; mass storage responsive to the computing apparatus to receive the compressed video source material, the audio source material, and the information regarding each adjustment; and output apparatus communicating with the computing apparatus for displaying the manipulated source material. Features of claims 10, 51, 94 and 130 are similar to those defined in the specifications of Peters's reference.

Therefore, claims 10, 51, 94 and 130 do not comply with Section 28.3 of the *Patent Act*.

In view of the foregoing defects, the applicant is requisitioned, under subsection 30(2) of the *Patent Rules*, to amend the application in order to comply with the *Patent Act* and the *Patent Rules* or to provide arguments as to why the application does comply.

Section 29 of the *Patent Rules* requisition

Under section 29 of the *Patent Rules*, the applicant is requisitioned to provide:

- identification of any prior art cited in respect of the European Patent Office application describing the same invention on behalf of the applicant or on behalf of any other person claiming under an inventor named in the present application, and the patent number, if granted, subsequent to the International Search Report under paragraph 29(1)(a) of the *Patent Rules*.

To satisfy this requisition, applicant should provide all the preceding information or documents, or provide in accordance with subsection 29(3) of the *Patent Rules* a statement of reasons why any information or document is not available or known.

S.Chhim
Patent Examiner
(819) 997-2238